(1) (3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH

WRIT PETITION NO. 2772 2006

PETITIONER Sukrita Soni D/o. Shri Deo Narayan Soni, aged about 24 years, Shiksha Karmi Grade-III, Primary School, Kurudih, Block Korba Tahsil and District Korba (C.G.)

P.R. No. 2838/06
Presented by Shri P.M. Sharma
dated 13/6/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary Education Department D.K.S. Bhavan Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat, Korba, Dist. Raigarh (C.G.)
3. Block Education Officer, Korba (C.G.)

CERTIFIED COPY
BILASPUR
13/6/06

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE COUNSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF CEERTIORARI, MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION AND OTHER SUITABLE WRIT OR WRITS, DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS, ORDER OR ORDERES:

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..WP.No..2277/06 **सन् 200**

26

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	14.6.2006:- Shri R.S. Patel, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Satish Gupta, Deputy Govt. Advocate for the State/ respondents. Heard both the counsel. The case of the petitioner is that she is working as Shiksha Karmi, Grade-III, Primary School, Kurudih, Block and District Korba. Respondent No.2 vide order dated 30th August 2005 transferred the petitioner from Primary School, Keubahar to Primary School, Kurudih, Block and District Korba as per Annexure-P/2. She was relieved by the Head Master of the Primary School Keubahar on 28-10-2005 and in pursuance of that, she joined at new place i.e. Kurudih on 7-11-2005. However, vide order dated 9-12-2005 transfer has been cancelled by respondent No.2 without any rhyme or reason. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has already joined and the petitioner wants to make a representation against the impugned cancellation order to respondent No.2 and he be directed to decide the representation considering all the grievances of the petitioner to which learned counsel for respondents has no objection. Request made by learned counsel for the petitioner appears to be just and fair. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a representation to the respondent No.2 within a period of 15 days from today raising all her grievances and in turn, respondent No.2 is directed to decide representation of the petitioner within another 30 days from the	

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ...W.P...27...7.2106.... **सन् 200**

(27)

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश —2—	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
Barve	date of receipt of representation considering all the points raised in the representation, in accordance with law. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.2109/2006 also stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge	